



## MEASURE J RE IMAGINE LA REENTRY SUBCOMMITTEE

**Wednesday, February 10, 2021, 6 PM.- 8:30 PM**

1. The Reentry Subcommittee was called to order by co-chair Roberto Luca at 6:03 PM. Aparna Shah and Mari Ryono.
2. Agenda
  - a. Aparna Shah presented the following overview of today's agenda which included orientation, a look at how recommendations will be made, co-chair nominations and election, and focusing of the subcommittee.
3. Orientation
  - a. Roberto Luca provided more the subcommittee structure:
    - i. Subcommittee will have 2 co-chairs, one of whom will be selected during this meeting
    - ii. Everyone who attends is a member
    - iii. Subcommittee is a Brown Act body responsible for making recommendations
  - b. Subcommittee role:
    - i. Subcommittee is responsible for making Measure J spending allocation recommendations to the Advisory Committee
    - ii. Subcommittee is also responsible for identifying programs and services that can be funded by Measure J and that will support communities and people impacted by systemic racism
4. Overall Process for How Recommendations and Decisions will be Made
  - a. The Subcommittee will work to provide recommendations to the Advisory Committee, who will review recommendations from all 5 subcommittees and deliver a set of recommendations to the CEO.
    - i. The voting will be conducted via digital polling.
    - ii. Majority rule will win.
  - b. Public Comment was opened regarding subcommittee orientation. The following people addressed the subcommittee
    - i. Bamby Salcedo
5. Elect a Subcommittee Co-Chair
  - a. Mari Ryono provided the role and responsibilities of the subcommittee.
    - i. Represent the Advisory Committee and its conversations at the Subcommittee
    - ii. Attend ALL 5 Subcommittee meetings
    - iii. Provide guidance from the Advisory Committee and Measure J
    - iv. Bring Subject-Matter Expertise through Personal Lived Experience and/or Work Experience
    - v. Support Facilitating Comments and Feedback into Concrete Funding

Recommendations

- vi. Report Information from this Subcommittee back to the Advisory Committee Periodically
- vii. Provide Support to Facilitators in Presenting Material and Decision- Making Process.
- b. Mari provided the process to elect a co-chair
  - i. Co-chairs will lead nominations and voting
  - ii. Nominees need to be present in meeting to accept the nomination
  - iii. Self-nominations are allowed
  - iv. Nominations will be tracked on a slide
  - v. The vote will be virtual, attendees on phone may vote over the phone
  - vi. Winners need to win by simple majority and winners take office immediately
- c. Co-chair Roberto Luca opened the floor for nominations. The following people were nominated for co-chair:
  - i. Sam Lewis
  - ii. Ray Zacarias
  - iii. Jose Arellano
  - iv. Adam Zaragoza
- d. Each nominee was provided two minutes to speak and introduce themselves to the subcommittee.
- e. Some attendees were unable to access the online meeting, so the nominee statements were delayed while working to admit remaining attendees
- f. Roberto Luca closed nominations
- g. Before the election the community requested that the nomination process be reopened in effort to provide more equitable because no women were nominated
  - i. The vote to reopen the nomination did not pass as 2/3 of the attendees did not support the reopening of the nomination process.
- h. The Co-chair nominees were allowed to speak again and introduce themselves to the additional meeting attendees.
- i. Roberto Luca opened the floor for public comment. The following people addressed the subcommittee
  - 1. Lino Garcia
  - 2. Michael Mendoza
  - 3. Ivette Ale
  - 4. Raymundo Zacarias
  - 5. Briley Journey Presely
  - 6. Eunisses Hernandez
  - 7. Michele C
- j. Public comment closes at 7:33 PM. Public commenced voting. The result of the vote was as follows:
  - 1. Sam Lewis – 133 votes
  - 2. Raymundo Zacarias – 209 votes
  - 3. Jose Arellano- 12 votes
  - 4. Adam Zaragoza- 5 votes
  - ii. Raymundo Zacarias accepted the office of co-chair.
- 6. Subcommittee Funding Recommendations Overview
  - a. Roberto led discussion on considerations for making funding recommendations
  - b. Aparnah explained that recommendations should connect to reentry
    - i. Funding should connect to the subcommittee's focus area and should connect to the following "through themes" identified by Advisory Committee:
    - ii. Individuals experiencing mental health disorders
    - iii. Individuals experience substance abuse disorders

- iv. Homelessness
- v. Situations caused by unmet needs
- vi. People with disabilities
- vii. Zip codes
- viii. Community of interest
- ix. Preventative health needs
- x. System impacted individuals
- c. Funding recommendations should consider how recommendation will eliminate disparities within any of the following categories:
  - i. Race
  - ii. Ethnicity
  - iii. Sex
  - iv. Gender identity
  - v. Sexual orientation
  - vi. Languages spoken
  - vii. Geography
  - viii. Concentrated and/or accumulated disadvantage
  - ix. Immigration status
  - x. Age
- d. How Measure J funding moves into the community
  - i. Established process of distributing funds through County departments
  - ii. Subcommittee can propose an additional process to equitably distribute Measure J funds such as a block grant for community-based organizations
- e. Funding Recommendations Template
  - i. Roberto Luca and Aparna Sha introduced the Funding Recommendations Template and Equity Tool. Those items include:
    - 1. What outcomes would the subcommittee like to see as a result of funding?
    - 2. Are there population categories we hope to access by outcomes?
    - 3. What types of programs/services/initiatives will move us closer to those identifies outcomes?
    - 4. What Measure J funding category/ATI foundational recommendations/ATI overarching strategy does this program/service/initiative connect to?
    - 5. Is there a connection to or consideration of the “through themes” previously identified?
    - 6. How will your recommendation aim to eliminate disparities in the previously identified groups?
    - 7. What do people with lived experience say the need?
    - 8. How will you prioritize communities with the most need?
    - 9. What population of individuals will the program/service/initiative benefit?
    - 10. How does this program/service/initiative build community capacity and empower communities most impacted by inequities?
    - 11. How will our identified outcomes be reached by this program/service/initiative?
    - 12. What will success look like?
    - 13. How do we measure success?
- f. Aparnah opened the floor for public comment. The following people addressed the subcommittee:
  - i. Shonique Williams
  - ii. Cynthia Bryan
  - iii. Eunisses Hernandez
  - iv. Sam Lewis

- v. Dominique Davis
  - vi. Ezekiel Nishiyama
  - vii. Bamby Salcedo
- 7. Mari discussed the next steps for the subcommittee including the next meeting date.
  - a. Mari Ryono announced upcoming meetings for the Reentry Subcommittee. As well as understand the responsibilities of the Reentry Subcommittee.
    - i. Mari read a statement that the subcommittee will Recognize the impacts of mass incarceration and racial injustice, especially as we heard tonight on the Black community rooted in the history of this country, and include the voices and leadership of Black women, men and gender non-binary people-include the voices and leadership of those most impacted.
- 8. Both co-chairs provided closing comments before opening the floor for public comment. The following people provided public comment:
  - a. Sam Lewis
  - b. Shonique Williams
- 9. Adjournment
  - a. There being no objection, the meeting was adjourned by co-chairs Roberto Luca and Raymundo Zacarias.